Structures in Solution and in the Solid State of the Complexes of Lanthanum(II1) with 1,10-Phenanthroline. X-ray Crystallographic and ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁷O, and ¹³⁹La Solution NMR **Studies**

Monique Fréchette,*,† Ian R. Butler,^{†,†} Rosemary Hynes,[§] and Christian Detellier*,†

Received July *10, 1991*

The reaction between hexahydrated lanthanum(III) nitrate and 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) in acetonitrile solution was investigated by IH, 13C, **I7O,** and 139La NMR spectroscopy. The crystal structure of trinitratobis(1, **IO-phenanthroline)lanthanum(III)** (La- $(NO₃)₃(C₁₂H₈N₂)₂)$ was determined by X-ray diffraction: monoclinic; space group *C2/c; a* = 11.2252 (8) Å, *b* = 18.1844 (14) \hat{A} , $c = 13.1278$ (8) \hat{A} ; $Z = 4$; $\beta = 100.416$ (5)°. At convergence, $R_f = 0.031$ and $R_w = 0.032$ for 2059 reflections. In solution, 139La NMR spectra have shown up to three sites in slow exchange with variable intensities. They were attributed to solvated La(III) ions and to two complex species with one or two phen ligands in the La(II1) coordination shell. A more complete structural analysis by **I7O** NMR spectroscopy indicated that during the course of the complexation by phen, coordinated water was totally expelled from the La(II1) coordination sphere, whereas all three nitrate groups remained coordinated. Proton NMR data have confirmed the total displacement of water. **In** addition, the proton chemical shifts of phen, in comparison with the **13C** NMR data, clearly showed an anisotropic shielding contribution when two phen ligands were coordinated to La(II1). This indicates that the two phen molecules are in a close vicinity in the coordination sphere. From the NMR results, one can conclude that the structure in solution of the 2:1 complex, $La(NO₃)₃(phen)₂$, is very similar to the solid-state one. From ¹³⁹La NMR spectroscopy, the populations of the various La(II1) species were obtained and the apparent formation constants were calculated.

Introduction

Rare earth metal cations (noted below as "Ln(II1)") are of growing importance for their industrial,^{1,2} chemical,^{3,4} biochemical, and medicinal applications.⁵⁻⁹ They are major constituents of several ceramic superconductors.¹⁰

Although rare earth metal cations are widely used, little is known about the stability and the structure of their complexes in solution. Because of their hard character, their complexes with anionic ligands containing oxygen as donor atoms have been the most studied,^{11,12} as were also their complexes with synthetic ionophores, generally stabilized by the macrocyclic effect.¹³ In the absence of strongly stabilizing ligands, the complex formation is often precluded by the competition for the coordination sites from the solvent molecules and the counteranions.

The use of N-donor ligands in the Ln(II1) complex formation has rarely been considered. Previous works mention that in water a few thermodynamically stable complexes were obtained by **using** weakly basic amines.¹⁴ The use of strongly basic amines led to the formation of lanthanide(III) oxides or hydroxides.¹⁴

Nonaqueous solvents with weak basic properties offer, however, a powerful means for the synthesis of Ln(II1) complexes with neutral ligands with N (or even S) as donor atoms.^{14,15} Some results obtained by ¹³⁹La NMR,¹⁶ ^IH NMR,¹⁷ calorimetry,¹⁵ and IR measurements¹⁸ clearly report that ethylenediamine (en), and diethylenediamine (dien) form inner sphere complexes with Ln(II1) in acetonitrile. Similarly, although 2,2'-bipyridyl and 1,10phenanthroline are relatively less basic than en, some of their Ln(III) complexes were characterized in solution and in the solid state.^{11,19-23} It has also been shown that, depending on the It has also been shown that, depending on the counteranion used, the complex structures can largely vary in solution as well as in the solid state, thus affecting the number of ligands bound and the coordination number in the resulting $Ln(III)$ complexes.^{13,18,24}

In a stepwise attempt to better understand the role played by the ligand, the counteranion, and the solvent in the stability of the Ln(II1) complexes in solution, the complexation of La(II1) with 1,10-phenanthroline in acetonitrile was first considered. By means of a multinuclear magnetic resonance analysis combining **139La, I7O, I3C,** and **IH** NMR data, information on the interactions between the La(II1) ions and the various potentially coordinating compounds in solution were obtained from chemical shift and line-width analysis.

Experimental Section

Materials and Samples. La(NO₃), 6H₂O (Aldrich, 99.99%) and 1,lO-phenanthroline (phen) (Aldrich, **99%)** were kept in a desiccator over drierite and used as received. Acetonitrile (BDH) was dried as previously reported.25 Acetonitrile-d, (Aldrich, **99%** D) was used as received.

The metal ion concentration in the stock solution was checked by complexometric titrations with a standard EDTA solution at pH = **4.6** using xylenol orange as the metal indicator.²⁶ Results confirmed that

- Riker, L. W.; Gschneidner, Jr., K. A. Eds. *Industrial Applications* of *the Rare Earth Elements;* American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, **1981.**
- Niinisto, L. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1987,** *140,* **339-343.**
- Evans, W. J.; Ulibarri, T. A.; Ziller, J. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990,** *112,* **219-223.**
- Kagan, H. B.; Namy, J. L. *Tetrahedron* **1986,42, 6573.**
- Evans, C. **H.** *Biochemistry* of *the Lunthanides;* Plenum Press: New York, **1990.**
- Meares, C. F.; Wensel, T. G. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1984, 17,** *202.*
- Inagaki, **F.;** Miyazawa, T. *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.* **1981,** *14,* **67.**
- Cox, J. **P. L.;** Jankowski, K. J.; Kataky, R.; Parker, D.; Beeley, N. R. A.; Boyce, B. A.; Eaton, M. A. W.; Millar, K.; Millican, A. T.; Harrison, A.; Walker, C. J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1989,197-798.**
- Anderson-Berg, **W.** T.; Squire, R. A.; Strand, M. *Cancer Res.* **1987.47, 1905.**
- (a) Bednorz, J. G.; Muller, K. A. *Z. Phys.* **1986,** *E64,* **189.** (b) **Su***perconductivity and its Applications;* Kwok, H. *S.,* Shaw, D. T., **Eds.;** Elsevier: New York, **1988.**
- Sinha, *S.* P. *Complexes* of *the Rare Earths;* Pergamon Press Ltd.: Toronto, Canada, **1966;** pp **36-81.**
- Ducommun, Y.; Helm, L.; Laurency, G.; Merbach, E. A. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **1988,** *26,* **1023.**
- Biinzli, J. C. G. *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry* of *Rare Earth;* Gschneidner, K. A., Jr., Eyring, L., **Eds.;** Elsevier Science Publishers **B.** V.: Amsterdam, **1987;** pp **321-394.**
- (a) Sinha, *S.* P. *Complexes of the Rare Earths;* Pergamon Press Ltd.: Toronto, Canada, **1966;** pp **24-36.** (b) Forsberg, J. H. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1973,** *10,* **195.**
- Forsberg, **J.** H.; Wathen, C. A. *Znorg. Chem.* **1971,** *10,* **1379.**
- Evans, D. **F.;** Missen, P. H. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1982, 1929.**
- (17) Birnbaum, E. R.; Statton, S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1973**, 12, 379.
- Forsberg, **J. H.;** Moeller, T. *Inorg. Chem.* **1969,** *8,* **883.**
- Bukietynska, **K.;** Thuy, P. N. *Polyhedron* **1988,** 7, **641.**
- Bukietynska, K.; Thuy, P. N. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1987, 132, 21.**
- Ermolaev, **V.** L.; Gruzdev, V. P. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1983,** *94,* **179.**
- Selbin, J.; Ahmad, N.; Bhacca, N. *Znorg. Chem.* **1971,** *10,* **1383.**
- AI-Karaghouli, **A. R.;** Wood, **J.** *S. Inorg. Chem.* **1972,** *11,* **2293.**
- Forsberg, **J. H.;** Moeller, T. *Znorg. Chem.* **1969,** *8,* **889.**
- Graves, **H. P.;** Detellier, C. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1988,** *110,* **6019.**

^{&#}x27;University of Ottawa Campus.

^{&#}x27;Present address: Department of Chemistry, University College of North Wales, Bangor, Gwynedd LL57 ZUW, U.K.

⁸ National Research Council.

Table I. Crystallographic Data for La(NO₃)₃(phen)₂

formula	$LaC_{24}H_{16}N_7O_9$	a, A	11.2252(8)
fw	685.34	b, Å	18.1844 (14)
cryst syst	monoclinic	c, A	13.1278 (8)
space group	C2/c	β , deg	100.416(5)
radiation $(\lambda,$	Mo Kα (0.70930)	Z	
Å)		T. °C	20
d_{calo} , g cm ⁻³	1.727	v. Å ³	2635.5(3)
	0.031	trans coeff	0.501928-0.708698
$R_f = R_f (F_o)^a$ $R_w = R_w (F_o)^b$	0.032	$\mu(Mo K\alpha)$,	16.9
		cm^{-1}	

$$
{}^{a}R_{\rm f} = \sum (F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm c})/\sum F_{\rm o} \cdot {}^{b}R_{\rm w} = (\sum w (F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm c})^{2}/\sum w (F_{\rm o})^{2})^{1/2}
$$

the lanthanum salt contained, as indicated, six water molecules per mole of lanthanum. All solutions and NMR samples were prepared and kept under argon and sealed with Parafilm. The spectra were recorded within 6 h after the preparation of samples because precipitation occurred **on** standing, especially if $\rho = [\text{phen}]_0 / [\text{La(III)}]_0 \geq 1.0$.

NMR Measurements. 139 La, ¹⁷O, and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 298.0 & 0.5 *K* **on** a Varian XL-300 spectrometer using a 10-mm probe. No lock was used, the field drift being negligible during the time of the acquisition.

'39La NMR spectra were obtained at 42.37 MHz. A delay of 40 *ps* between the end of the pulse and the start of the acquisition was chosen to minimize baseline distortion. Chemical shifts were measured relative to external 0.10 M La(NO₃)₃.6H₂O in H₂O-D₂O (80:20). Intensities (*I*), line widths $(v_{1/2})$, and chemical shifts (δ) of the decomposed Lorentzian lines were obtained from a curve-fitting analysis. Because of small residual baseline distortion, the Lorentzian decompositions were performed **on** several spectra of the same sample after the phase parameter values were varied. A series of 20 different La(II1)-phen solutions were prepared and fifty-four decomposition curves were considered. From 25 to 42 °C no temperature dependence on the ¹³⁹La NMR line widths obtained from the decomposition procedure was observed, in the limits of the experimental errors.

Natural abundance **170** NMR spectra were obtained at a resonance frequency of 40.66 MHz. Chemical shifts were referenced to external 100% D₂O.

¹³C NMR spectra were obtained at 75.43 MHz. Chemical shifts were reported relative to external dioxane in C_6D_6 (60:40) and converted to the TMS reference scale using $\delta_{TMS} = \delta_{\text{diox}} + 67.40$ ppm. The ¹³C off-resonance spectrum and the hetero-correlated shift 2D spectrum were also recorded to assist signal assignment.

Proton NMR spectra were obtained at room temperature **on** a Gemini 200 spectrometer operating at 199.97 MHz using a 5-mm probe. Chemical shifts were measured relative to internal acetonitrile and converted to the TMS reference scale using $\delta_{TMS} = \delta_{AN} + 2.00$ ppm.

Crystallographic Analysis of **La(N03)3(phen),.** Crystalline La(N- O_3)₃(C₁₂H₈N₂)₂ was precipitated from the NMR samples when $\rho =$ $[phen]_0/[La(III)]_0 \ge 2.0$. The crystals were isolated by decantation and dried with a stream of argon.

A colorless crystal of dimensions 0.35 **X** 0.30 **X** 0.07 mm was selected by examination under a microscope. The crystal was mounted **on** a glass fiber and was then studied by X-ray diffraction with a monochromatic Mo *Ka* radiation **on** a Rigaku AFC65 diffractometer controlled by the TEXRAY system of programs.27a

Details **on** crystal structure determination and relevant parameters **on** molecular geometry are reported respectively in Tables I and **11;** atomic parameters x , y , z , and B_{eq} are reported in Table III.

Cell dimensions were obtained from 25 reflections with 2θ in the range 36.0-42.0'. Intensity data were collected for 2459 reflections using the $\theta/2\theta$ scan method to a maximum 2 θ value of 49.9°. On data reduction, 2335 unique reflections were obtained of which 2059 were considered significant with $I_{net} > 2.5\sigma(I_{net})$. Absorption correction was made from four ψ scans using the scattering factors given in ref 27b. The structure was solved by direct methods followed by a difference Fourier map and refined by least-squares methods. The space group was determined by systematic absences. The space group $C2/c$, with $Z = 4$, requires that La is **on** a 2-fold axis, and N(l) and O(2) also sit **on** special positions. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically together with the scale and extinction parameter. Hydrogen atom positions were calculated

Table 11. Selected Bond Lengths and Bond

Bond Distances							
$La-O(1)$	2.580(3)	$La-N(3)$	2.701(3)				
$La-O(3)$	2.599(3)	$La-N(4)$	2.646(3)				
$La-O(4)$	2.611(3)						
Bond Angles							
$O(1)$ -La- $O(1)^*$	49.05 (13)	$O(3)$ -La- $O(3)$ *	144.34 (10)				
$O(1) - La - O(3)$	74.27 (11)	$O(3)$ -La- $O(4)$	48.95 (10)				
$O(1)$ -La- $O(3)$ *	73.38 (11)	$O(3)$ -La- $O(4)$ *	128.32 (10)				
$O(1)$ -La- $O(4)$	69.14 (11)	$O(3)$ -La-N (3)	74.51 (10)				
$O(1)$ -La- $O(4)$ *	104.31 (11)	$O(3)$ -La-N (3) *	141.14 (10)				
$O(1) - La - N(3)$	139.10 (11)	$O(3)$ -La-N(4)	118.32 (10)				
$O(1)$ -La-N (3) *	139.83 (12)	$O(3)$ -La-N (4) *	74.53 (10)				
$O(1)$ -La-N(4)	87.14 (12)	$O(4)$ -La- $O(4)$ *	173.15 (10)				
$O(1)$ -La-N (4) *	131.84 (12)	$O(4)$ -La-N(3)	70.52 (10)				
$N(3) - La-N(3)$ *	66.69 (10)	$O(4)$ -La-N $(3)^*$	115.68 (10)				
$N(3)-La-N(4)$	85.01 (10)	$O(4)$ -La-N (4)	69.39 (10)				
$N(3)-La-N(4)$ *	61.33(10)	$O(4)$ -La-N (4) *	113.12 (10)				
$N(4)-La-N(4)^*$	140.35 (10)						

Atoms flagged with an asterisk are symmetry equivalents. 'Complete listings of bond lengths and bond angles are included in the supplementary material.

Table III. Atomic Parameters x , y , z and B_{iso} Where Esds Refer to the Last Digit Printed

	x	у	z	B_{iso} ^a Å ²
La	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.328235 (18)	0.25000	2.564(16)
O(1)	0.4879(4)	0.45734(20)	0.1672(3)	6.39(21)
O(2)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.5598(3)	0.25000	12.6(6)
O(3)	0.2782(3)	0.37200(17)	0.24280(23)	4.08(14)
O(4)	0.3179(3)	0.33682(18)	0.09546 (22)	4.13 (15)
O(5)	0.1389(3)	0.37630(25)	0.1060(3)	7.11(22)
N(1)	$^{1}/_{2}$	0.4934(3)	0.25000	7.1(5)
N(2)	0.2420(4)	0.36162(21)	0.1468(3)	4.05(18)
N(3)	0.3663(3)	0.20417(17)	0.24144(24)	3.07(15)
N(4)	0.5628(3)	0.27889(18)	0.07761(23)	3.15(15)
C(1)	0.3327(4)	0.16745(25)	0.1535(3)	3.94(21)
C(2)	0.2898(5)	0.0951(3)	0.1504(4)	5.2(3)
C(3)	0.2814(5)	0.0604(3)	0.2402(4)	5.4(3)
C(4)	0.3132(4)	0.09766 (24)	0.3350(3)	4.09 (22)
C(5)	0.3569(4)	0.16993(23)	0.3319(3)	3.05(17)
C(6)	0.3009(6)	0.0663(3)	0.4316(4)	5.7(3)
C(7)	0.6718(5)	0.1046(3)	$-0.0198(4)$	5.4(3)
C(8)	0.6266(4)	0.1781(3)	$-0.0201(3)$	3.65(20)
C(9)	0.6093(4)	0.21066(22)	0.0732(3)	2.89(18)
C(10)	0.5982(4)	0.2212(3)	$-0.1110(3)$	4.35 (25)
C(11)	0.5555(5)	0.2904(3)	$-0.1050(3)$	4.61(24)
C(12)	0.5371(4)	0.3170(3)	$-0.0103(3)$	3.96(20)

 B_{iso} is the mean of the principal axes of the thermal ellipsoid.

assuming C-H = 1.08 Å, and $B_{\text{iso}}(H)$ was obtained assuming $U(H)$ = $U_{iso}(C)$ + 0.01. The last least-squares cycle was calculated with 30 atoms, 188 parameters, and 2059 out of the unique 2335 reflections. Weights based **on** counting statistics were used. The residuals **on** significant reflections were $R_f = 0.031$ and $R_w = 0.032$ with GoF = 1.30, and for all reflections $R_f = 0.039$ and $R_w = 0.032$. The instrument instability factor k was 0.0001. The maximum shift/ σ ratio in the final least-squares cycle was 0.01 1. **In** the last E-map the deepest hole was $-0.450 \text{ e}/\text{Å}^3$ and the top peak was $0.540 \text{ e}/\text{Å}^3$. The top peak was located 1.10 **A** from the lanthanum atom, indicating the absence of solvent molecules. All calculations were done **using** the NRCVAX system of crystal structure solving programs.^{27c}

Results

¹³⁹La NMR Data. Figure 1 shows the ¹³⁹La NMR spectra of a La(NO₃)₃.6H₂O solution (5.14 \times 10⁻³ M) in acetonitrile, in the presence of various amounts of 1,lO-phenanthroline. In the **ab**sence of phen, the observed 139La NMR spectrum exhibits a single Lorentzian line characterized by a chemical shift of 10 ppm and a line width of 2.9 **kHz.** Increasing amounts of phen to the La(II1) solution causes the appearance of up to two additional signals resonating at higher frequencies (Figure 1).

The experimental spectra were decomposed in three Lorentzian signals. The resulting decomposition plots are also represented in Figure 1. The chemical shift (δ) and line-width $(\nu_{1/2})$ values

⁽²⁶⁾ Lyle, **S.** J.; Rahman, **M. M.** *Talanra,* **1963,** *10,* 1177.

^{(27) (}a) TEXRAY. Diffractometer Control Program. Molecular Structure Corp., Houston, TX. **(b)** *The International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography;* The **Kynoch** Press: Birmingham, England, **1974; Vol.** IV. (c) Gabe, E. J.; Lepage, **Y.;** Charland, J. **P.;** Lee, F. L.; White, P. **S.** J. *Appl. Crystallogr.* **1989,** *22,* **384.**

Figure 1. ¹³⁹La NMR spectra, at 42.37 MHz, of La(NO₃)₃-6H₂O in CH₃CN in the presence of increasing concentration of phen; $\rho = [\text{phen}]_0/[\text{La(III)}]_0$ with $[La(III)]_0 = 5.14 \times 10^{-3}$ M. The decomposition plots characterizing each species are given on the right part side. Key: (a) $\rho = 0$; (b) $\rho = 0.27$; (c) $\rho = 0.69$; (d) $\rho = 1.06$; (e) $\rho = 1.38$; (f) $\rho = 2.42$.

of the three Lorentzian lines obtained by the decomposition procedure are given in Table IV. Their respective populations $(p_i; i = 1-3)$ are shown in Figure 2. The only assumption made in the building of Figure **2** is the La(II1) monomeric nature of the three species. Since the second species ($\delta = 80$ ppm) is major for $\rho = {\text{[phen]}_0 / [\text{La(III)}]_0 = 1.2}$, and the third species ($\delta = 150$ ppm) is major for $\rho \geq 2.4$, it is reasonable to assign the stoichiometries (phen)La^{III} and (phen)₂La^{III} to the second and the third species respectively. This will be borne out by the **'H, 13C,** and **I7O** NMR results, as well as by the X-ray crystallographic structure of the (phen)₂La^{III} species. The first species ($\delta = 10$

Table IV. Chemical Shift δ , and Line Width, $v_{1/2}$ of the ¹³⁹La Resonances and the Formation Constant of the Phen Complexes

δ , ppm	$\nu_{1/2}$, kHz	$log K_t$	
10 ± 4	2.9 ± 0.2		
80 ± 5	2.35 ± 0.15	3.3 ± 0.2	
150 ± 6	2.06 ± 0.09	2.2 ± 0.2	

ppm) is attributed to a solvated trinitratolanthanum complex (as will be shown below).

 170 **NMR Data.** The ¹⁷O NMR spectrum of a 5.24 \times 10⁻³ M solution of $La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O$ in acetonitrile is characterized by a

Figure **2.** Distribution of the three **La(II1)** species **as** a function of *p.* The bars represent the error range on populations values averaged on a set of experimental data. The dots represent a population value obtained from a single experiment.

Figure 3. 170 NMR spectra (a,e) and chemical shift variations (a-e) of $H₂¹⁷O$ in CH₃CN for different values of ρ . The population percentages for each species are given according to the data obtained from Figure 2. $\rho =$ [phen]₀/[La(III)]₀ with [La(III)]₀ = 5.24 × 10⁻³ M. Key: (a) ρ $= 0$, 100% La³⁺(s); (b) $\rho = 0.88$, 31% La³⁺(s), 68% La(phen)³⁺(s), 1% La(phen)₂³⁺(s); (c) $\rho = 1.76$, 46% La(phen)³⁺(s), 54% La(phen)₂³⁺(s); (d) $\rho = 2.64$, 100% La(phen)₂³⁺(s); (e) 5 × 10⁻² M H₂O in CH₃CN.

triplet associated with the **I7O** water resonance at -2.26 ppm $(^1J_{17}O_{1H} = 82 \pm 6$ Hz). Similar ¹⁷O NMR water spectra were **observed** in nonhydroxylic solvents?* The addition of increasing amounts of phen to the solution moves the triplet resonance at lower frequencies, to reach the limit value of -6.76 ppm $(^1J =$ 80 ± 9 Hz). These values are very close to the chemical shift and the $J_{17_\text{O}-1\text{H}}$ coupling constant of free water in acetonitrile (δ $= -6.88$ ppm; $^{1}J = 78 \pm 2$ Hz; see Figure 3).

The **170** chemical shift variation indicates the presence of free and coordinated water molecules in variable proportions in solution. The phen ligand molecules added to a solution of La(II1) substitute the water molecules in the inner sphere of La(II1). The result is an increase of the population of free water and consequently in the shielding of the **I7O NMR** water signal. With a sufficient addition of phen, the displacement of the coordinated water molecules is total, and only free water is present in solution.

Figure 4 shows the $\delta(H_2^{17}O)$ values as a function of the total concentration of coordinated phen, [phen(c)], for three different values of $[La(III)]_0$ ([phen(c)] = $(P_B + 2P_C)[La(III)]_0$, calculated from the population curves shown in Figure 2). The three linear relationships are illustrated in Figure 4. Remarkably, they in-

Figure 4. ¹⁷O NMR chemical shift of H₂O in CH₃CN as a function of the total concentration of coordinated phen, [phen(c)] and for various La(NO₃)₃.6H₂O concentrations. Key: (a) $[La(III)]_0 = 2.62 \times 10^{-2}$ M; (b) $[La(III)]_0 = 1.57 \times 10^{-2} M$; (c) $[La(III)]_0 = 5.24 \times 10^{-3} M$.

tersect at a point whose coordinates are $\delta = -6.8$ ppm (the chemical shift of uncoordinated water in acetonitrile) and $[phen(c)]/[La(III)]₀ = 2.0. This clearly indicates that all water$ molecules are free in solution for $[phen(c)] = 2[La(III)]_0$, which corresponds to the exclusive presence of the 1 :2 La(II1) complex in the media. These results point out the presence of water in the coordination spheres of both the free La(II1) and the 1:l La(II1) complexes, whereas the inner coordination sphere of the 1:2 complex is water-free. Furthermore, the low-frequency shift of the ¹⁷O NMR chemical shift of water as the $[La(III)]_0$ values decrease is the indication of an equilibrium between various **species** of hydrated La(II1) in acetonitrile. The study of these complex equilibria will be published separately.²⁹

A broad band at 426 ppm, assigned to the NO₃⁻ resonance,³⁰ can also be detected in solutions containing high enough concentrations of $La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O$. The chemical shift remains unchanged with the addition of phen, as well as with dilution, indicating that the nature of the NO₃⁻ species in solution does not depend on the coordination of La(II1) by phen. In agreement with the crystal structure of the 1:2 La(II1) complex **(see** below), the ¹⁷O NMR data indicate that all three NO₃⁻ groups belong to the **fmt** coordination sphere of the three La(II1) **species** detected by **'39La NMR.** In strong contrast with the water molecules, they are not substituted during the stepwise complexation process of La(II1) by phen.

¹³C **NMR Data.** Carbon-13 **NMR** spectra of 9.2×10^{-3} M phen solutions were recorded in the presence of increasing La- $(NO₃)₃·6H₂O$ concentrations, so that $R = [La(III)]₀/[phen]₀$ varied between 0 and 2.0.

For $0 \le R \le 0.5$, the observed chemical shift was a weighted average of the chemical shifts of free and complexed phen. **For** $R > 0.5$, a plateau was observed, indicating that very similar chemical shifts characterize both the 1:l and the 1:2 complexes. Table **V summafizes** the **I3C NMR** spectral data obtained for phen and its La(II1) complexes in acetonitrile.

⁽²⁸⁾ Kintzinger, J. **P.** In *NMR. Basic Principles and Progress. Oxygen-17 and Silicon-29;* Diehl, P., Fluck, **E.,** Kosfeld, R. **Eds.;** Springer: New York, 1981; pp 4, 37.

⁽²⁹⁾ Fréchette, M. To be submitted for publication.
(30) Rehder, D. In *Multinuclear NMR*: Mason, J., Ed.

⁽³⁰⁾ Rehder. D. In *Multinuclear NMR,* Mason, **J.,** Ed.; Plenum **Press:** New **York,** 1987; pp 483-486.

"Chemical shift, in ppm (\pm 0.03 ppm). ^bThe ¹³C chemical shifts of complexed phen in the 1:1 and in the 1:2 complexes are undistinguishable. c Calculated from the measured ¹H NMR chemical shift value for $p < 0.5$, in considering the populations and the chemical shifts of the phen species present in solution.

Figure 5. ¹H NMR chemical shifts of phen in CH₃CN as a function of $[La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O]$. $R = [La(III)]₀/(phen]₀ with [phen]₀ = 2.26 × 10⁻³$ M.

¹H NMR Data. Proton NMR spectra of phen were recorded over a range of La(III) concentrations so that $0 \le R =$ [La- (III) ₀/[phen]₀ < 2.02, with [phen]₀ = 2.26 × 10⁻³ M. The NMR spectra consisted of four resonances, three quadruplets and one singlet. The proton assignment agrees with previous literature data.³¹ The addition of La(NO₃)₃.6H₂O to the phen solution The addition of $La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O$ to the phen solution causes chemical shift variations which are given in Figure *5.* The curves indicate the successive formation of the **1:2** and **1:l** complexes in solution with different chemical shift values for coordinated phen in both complexes. The difference is particularly large for **H-2,9** and H-3,8. This behavior suggests that an additional factor, nonobservable in the 13C NMR spectra (carbons of both 1:l and **1:2** complexes had similar chemical shifts), affects the proton NMR chemical shifts. The close proximity of two phen ligands in the first coordination sphere of the **1:2** complex, as it is the case in the crystal structure (see below), should result in shielding effects due to mutual diamagnetic anisotropy of the aromatic rings. These effects should be absent in the **1** : **1** complex. This anisotropic contribution should be more effective with a

Figure 6. ¹H NMR chemical shifts of H₂O as a function of $R = [La (III)$ ^{I₀/[phen]₀.}

decrease in the distance between the two phen moieties, so that the corresponding contribution for each proton would be in the order **H-2,9** > H-3,8 > H-4,7 > **H-5,6.** This is exactly what is experimentally observed. The results and the experimental data are given in Table V.

The 'H NMR spectra were also useful in following the chemical shift variation of water during the complexation. As indicated in Figure 6, the water molecules are not coordinated up to $R = 0.5$, confirming the absence of water in the inner coordination sphere of the **1:2** La(II1) complex, as previously shown by **170** NMR spectroscopy. For $R < 0.5$, the observed chemical shift of water $(2.209 \pm 0.003 \text{ ppm})$ is identical to the chemical shift of the residual H₂O in the phen solution (for $R = 0$, $\delta_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 2.207$ ± 0.003 ppm) and very close to that of free water in CD₃CN ($\delta_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ = 2.199 ppm). For $R > 0.5$, a downfield shift of the water signal is observed, corresponding to higher concentrations of the **1:l** complex and of the solvated La(II1) in solution, both species containing some water molecules in the La(II1) first coordination sphere.

X-ray Data. Figure **7** shows an **ORTEP** drawing of the complex $La(NO₃)₃(phen)₂$. Some bond lengths and angles of interest are given in Table II. The structure of $La(NO₃)₃(phen)₂ shows$ similarities with the complex **trinitratobis(2,2'-bipyridyl)lantha**num(III), previously reported.²³

The lanthanum atom is IO-coordinate with four nitrogen and six oxygen donors from two phen and three chelating $NO₃⁻$ ligands. The averaged La-N and La-O bond distances in $La(NO₃)₃(phen)₂$ are **2.67** (3) and **2.60 (1) A,** in excellent agreement with the values of **2.66 (1)** and **2.60 (2) A** previously found for the corresponding La-N and La-O bond distances in the $La(bpy)₂(NO₃)₃$ complex. This is also **in** agreement with the sum of the ionic radius of La(II1) and of the van der Waals radius of N or 0, giving **2.67** and 2.57 Å, respectively.³²

^{(32) (}a) Mackay, K. M.; Mackay, R. A. Introduction to Modern Inorganic
Chemistry, 3rd ed.; International Textbook Co.: London, 1981; p 25.
(b) Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G. Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, 5th ed.; **John** Wiley & **Sons:** Toronto, Canada, 1988; p 956.

Figure 7. ORTEP drawing and numbering scheme of the $La(NO₃)₃(phen)₂$ **complex.**

The N-La-N chelate angle in the $(phen)_2$ complex is 61.33 $(10)^\circ$, comparable to the corresponding angle of 60.1° in the $(bpy)_2$ complex. All other N-La-N angles are smaller in the $(phen)_2$ complex than in the $(bpy)_2$ complex by at least 5°. Concerning the geometry of the whole polyhedron, a 2-fold axis of symmetry, C_2 , joins $O(2)$, $N(1)$, and La and on the basis of the angle values, the geometry of the 10-coordinated polyhedron should be closest of the idealized geometry of the bicapped do $decahedron.²³$

Formation Constants. The "apparent" formation constants for the 1:l and 1:2 complexes were calculated from the respective populations of the three La(II1) species (Figure 2) (coordinated NO₃⁻ not indicated). These values were obtained according to eqs 1-3, in which all species are solvated. Here $[phen] = [phen]_0$

$$
La^{3+}(s) + phen(s) \rightleftarrows La(phen)^{3+}(s) \qquad (1)
$$

$$
K_1 = \frac{[La(phen)^{3+}(s)]}{[La^{3+}(s)][phen(s)]} = \frac{P_B}{P_A[phen(s)]}
$$

$$
La(phen)3+(s) + phen(s) \rightleftharpoons La(phen)23+(s) (2)
$$

$$
K_2 = \frac{[La(phen)_2^{3+}(s)]}{[La(phen)^{3+}(s)][phen(s)]} = \frac{P_C}{P_B[phen(s)]}
$$

La³⁺(s) + 2phen(s) \rightleftharpoons La(phen)₂³⁺(s) (3)

$$
[La(bhen)3+(s)] \qquad PC
$$

$$
\beta_2 = \frac{[La(phen)/2 \ (s)]}{[La^{3+}(s)][phen(s)]^2} = \frac{AC}{P_A[phen(s)]^2}
$$

 $-P_{\text{B}}[\text{La(III)}]_0 - 2P_{\text{C}}[\text{La(III)}]_0$. P_{A} , P_{B} , and P_{C} are the respective populations of La³⁺ (La(NO₃)₃(s)), La(phen)³⁺ (La(NO₃)₃- $(\text{phen})(s)$, and $\text{La}(phen)_2^{3+}$ $(\text{La}(NO_3)_3(phen)_2(s))$. [phen]₀ and $[La(III)]_0$ are the total concentrations of phen and of the $La(III)$ cation, respectively.

The mean values of log K_1 , log K_2 , and log β_2 with their corresponding standard deviations are respectively 3.3 ± 0.3 (obtained from 38 independent values), 2.2 ± 0.2 (13 values), and 5.8 ± 0.2 0.2 (11 values).

Discussion

The addition of each phenanthroline ligand in the inner coordination sphere of $^{139}La(III)$ results in a constant high frequency ordination sphere of ¹³⁹La(III) results in a constant high frequency (33) Bunzli, J. C. G.; Merbach, A. E.; Nielson, R. M. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* shift of 70 ppm (Table IV). Similar monotonic relationships **1987**, 139, 151.

between δ and the number of coordinated ligands with N or O atoms have been previously observed with ethylenediamine and diethylenediamine¹⁶ and with several polycarboxylates.¹² This constancy of the ¹³⁹La NMR chemical shift variation when passing from La^{III} to La^{III}(phen) and then to $La^{III}(phen)$ ₂ suggests that either the same ligands are substituted by phen during the first and second complexation steps or the ligands displaced have the same shielding effect. However, if the character of the possibly substituted species $NO₃$, H₂O, and CH₃CN is considered, and because substantial differences are observed in the δ ¹³⁹La of the unmixed complexes $La(NO₃)₆³⁻, La(H₂O)_n³⁺, and La (CH₃CN)_n³⁺$, respectively, of -60, 0, and -129 ppm,¹⁶ the first hypothesis is far more reasonable and was borne out by the **170** results as will be shown below.

The results in Table IV also show decreasing values of $v_{1/2}$ when passing from La^{III} to La^{III}(phen) and then to La^{III}(phen)₂. For a quadrupolar nucleus such as ¹³⁹La, in the absence of exchange-broadening effects that was checked by a temperature study, the line-width variation should result essentially from two factors: a change in the symmetry of the first coordination shell, and a change in the size of the $La(III)$ species,¹⁶ with higher symmetry and smaller *size* leading to narrower resonances. Thus, because an increase of the complex size is expected with the addition of phen in the inner sphere of La(III), the decrease of $v_{1/2}$ results from a greater local electronic symmetry at the ¹³⁹La nucleus. This is in agreement with a *C,* structure for the 1:2 complex, as shown in Figure **7.**

On the basis of the **170** NMR data, two important conclusions can be reached and are helpful to determine which other ligands than phen belong to the first coordination sphere of the La(II1) **species.** First, each La(II1) **species** has three nitrate groups bound in its coordination sphere. This is indicated by the presence of three coordinated nitrate molecules in the crystalline $(phen)_2$ complex and by the constant ¹⁷O δ value of NO₃^{$-$} obtained when phen was increasingly added to the La(II1) solutions. The presence of the three nitrate groups in the inner sphere of free La(II1) is supported by the results of Evans and Missen who, by adding $NBu₄NO₃$ to a solution of La(ClO₄)₃ in CH₃CN, showed that the chemical shift varied linearly by **54** ppm for the three first equivalents of $NO₃^-$ added.¹⁶ This indicates a full addition of the three NO_3^- ions in the inner sphere of $La(III)$ based on the additivity hypothesis. Furthermore, in a recent study, Bunzli et al.³³ mentioned significant inner sphere interactions between La(III) and the anion NO_3^- in CH₃OH with probably two $NO_3^$ ions belonging to the La(III) inner sphere. In $CH₃CN$, a less effective donor solvent, the interactions between $La(III)$ and $NO₃$ should be even stronger, so that all three NO₃⁻ groups should logically be bound. Finally, the presence of three coordinated $NO₃$ in the inner sphere of the free and of the complexed La(III) species is in agreement with the calorimetric study of Forsberg and Moeller²⁴ who reported the absence of competition between nitrate ions and en for the coordination sites before the addition of the first two en molecules. In the present study, the NMR results show that there is no such competition between nitrate ions and phen. This is reasonable, due to the weaker basicity of phen compared to en.

The second conclusion deduced from the **I7O** NMR data is the participation of water in the inner sphere of La^{III} and La^{III}(phen) whereas La^{II1}(phen), is water-free. The absence of water in the 1:2 complex agrees very well with the corresponding crystal structure and indicates a strong similarity between the 1:2 complexes in solution and in the solid state.

At this point, the stoichiometry of the La(II1) species can be determined on the basis of both the crystal structure data and the ¹³⁹La and ¹⁷O NMR results. The indication of the presence of two phen molecules and three $NO₃⁻$ groups and the absence of water in the inner sphere of the 1:2 complex suggests identical molecular formulas for the solvated and the crystalline complexes, $[La(NO₃)₃(phen)₂]$. The coordination number would be 10, which

^{1987,} *139,* **151.**

results from two chelating phen moieties and three bidentate NO₁⁻ ions. **These** results are in excellent agreement with data previously reported for the corresponding complexes of heavier Ln(II1) ions which were isolated in the solid state.^{11,34} Concerning the two other species, La^{III} and La^{III}(phen), the chemical formulas should include undetermined amounts of $H₂O$ and $CH₃CN$, in addition to the three NO_3^- ions and the phen molecule $(1:1 \text{ complex})$. Accordingly, one should formulate them as $[La(NO_3)_3(H_2O)_\sim]$ (CH_3CN) _y] and $[La(NO_3)_3(phen)(H_2O)_{\nu}(CH_3CN)_z]$, respectively.

By addition of hydrated lanthanum(II1) nitrate and phen in CH₃CN, a competition is established between phen, NO_3^- , H₂O, and $CH₃CN$ for the occupancy of the coordination sites on La(III). During the course of the complexation of La(II1) by phen, the three coordinated NO_3^- groups remain bound to $La(III)$ whereas water and CH₃CN are substituted. No NMR spectral evidence of competition between phen and $NO₃$ was noted, indicating that NO3- is a much stronger stabilizing species than phen. The **I7O** NMR results show that some water is coordinated to La(II1) in both La^{III} and La^{II1}(phen) even though its total concentration in solution is much lower than that of the solvent $CH₃CN$. From these results, it comes that the decreasing coordinating strength for La(III) is NO_3 ⁻ > phen > H₂O > CH₃CN.

An analysis of $\log K_i$ for the successive coordination of phen to La(II1) (see Table IV) shows a decrease when going from log K_1 to log K_2 . This decrease is in good agreement with other studies and results from statistical factors.³⁵ On the basis of this statistical factor only, $log K_3$ should not be higher than 1.0. This estimation is valid only if the ligands expelled are the same throughout the

complexation steps. Since the addition of a third phen ligand could be done only at the expense of a much stronger ligand than CH₃CN or H₂O, namely NO₃⁻, the resulting log K_3 value should be much smaller than **1.0.**

Since water is also substituted during the course of the complexation, the actual equilibrium processes involved in the complexation of La(II1) with phen are not as simple as it appears above. To write a complete thermodynamic scheme of the complexation processes in solution would necessitate the exact knowledge of the water content in the coordination sphere of La^{III} and of La¹¹¹(phen). From the ¹⁷O NMR data, one knows that very small amounts of water are coordinated to the inner sphere of La^{III} and La^{III}(phen). For this first study of the system, this validates the simplification of considering only the displacement of CH₃CN in the equations describing the complexation process. A more complete thermodynamic treatment based on *''0* and '39La NMR data as well as on potentiometric methods will be published later.29

Acknowledgment. The Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERCC) is gratefully acknowledged for an Operating Grant to C.D. "Le Conseil de 1'- Education Franco-Ontarienne" (CEFO) is acknowledged for a Professorship and an Operating Grant to M.F. A grant for the purchase of a Karl-Fisher titrimeter, from the Development Fund of the Faculty of Science of the University of Ottawa, is also acknowledged. We thank Dr. Corinne Bensimon, University of Ottawa, for valuable discussions.

Registry No. La(NO₃)₃(phen)₂, 139758-78-4.

Supplementary Material Available: Table **S-I-S-V,** listing respectively crystallographic data, calculated hydrogen atom parameters, anisotropic temperature factors, bond distances, and bond angles **(4** pages); Table **S-VI,** listing structure factors (13 pages). Ordering information is given **on** any current masthead page.

> Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, New York, **New** York 10027

Resolved and Unresolved Crystallographic Disorder between $\{n^3-HB(3-Bu^tpz)\}$ **ZnCN and** $\{\eta^3-HB(3-Bu^tpz)_3\}ZnX$ (X = Cl, Br, I)

Keum Yoon and Gerard Parkin*

Received October 17, 1991

Crystallographic disorder between structurally inequivalent groups has been investigated by X-ray diffraction studies. By use of a technique in which a crystal is doped with an impurity, the disorder at a single site was varied in a controlled fashion. Crystallographic disorder between structurally inequivalent groups has been investigated by X-ray diffraction studies. By use
of a technique in which a crystal is doped with an impurity, the disorder at a single site was and Cl and (ii) CN and Br was not resolved, the disorder between CN and I in $\{\eta^3-HB(3-Bu'pz)\}\mathrm{Zn(CN)}_{0.9}\mathrm{I}_{0.1}$ was readily apparent, and the individual groups could be refined with surprising **success.** However, the bond lengths obtained from the disordered structure were incorrect, as judged by comparison with the pure structures. Thus, although satisfactory refinement of a disordered structure may be taken as good evidence for the nature of the disorder, and therefore a good indication of the molecular structure, attempts to extract the *true* bond lengths from such a structure must be treated with caution. Crystal data are as follows. $\{\eta^3-HB(3-1)\}$ BU'~Z)~)Z~CN: orthorhombic, *Pnma* (No. 62), *a* = 16.315 (3) A, b = 15.852 (3) A, *c* = 9.757 (2) **A,** V = 2523 (1) **A3,** *Z* = 4. **(q3-HB(3-Butpz)3)Zn(CN),,8Cb,2:** orthorhombic, *Pnma* (No. 62), *a* = 16.235 (2) **A,** b = 15.871 (3) **A,** *c* = 9.760 (1) **A,** V $= 2514$ (1) Å^3 , $\text{Z} = 4$. $\{ \eta^3 - \text{HBr}(3 - \text{Bulpz})_3 | \text{Zn(CN)}_{0.95} \text{Br}_{0.05}$: orthorhombic, *Pnma* (No. 62), *a* = 16.303 (4) Å , *b* = 15.847 (6) \hat{A} , $c = 9.752$ (3) \hat{A} , $V = 2519$ (1) \hat{A}^3 , $Z = 4$. $\{\eta^3 - \hat{H}B(3-\hat{B}u^2pz)3\}Zn(CN)_{0.9}I_{0.1}$: orthorhombic, *Pnma* (No. 62), $a = 16.315$ (6) **A**, $b = 15.841$ (8) **A**, $c = 9.751$ (4) **A**, $V = 2520$ (1) **A**³, $Z = 4$.

Introduction

Our recent investigations have demonstrated that the original report of "distortional"¹ or "bond-stretch"² isomerism is an artifact due to crystallographic disorder.³ The observation of "isomers" of cis-mer-MoOCl₂(PMe₂Ph)₃, with apparently different Mo=O bond lengths, is due to cocrystallization with small quantities of

⁽³⁴⁾ Lobanov, N. I.; Smirnova, V. A. *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. (Engl. Transl.)* 1963, 8, 1154.
Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G. Advanced Inorganic Chemistry; 5thd ed.;

⁽³⁵⁾ Cotton, F. **A.;** Wilkinson, G. *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry;* 5thd *ed.;* John Wiley & **Sons:** Toronto, Canada, 1988; pp 41-45.

^{(1) (}a) Chatt, J.; Manojlovic-Muir, **L.;** Muir, K. *W. Chem. Commun.* 1971, 655-656. **(b)** Manojlovic-Muir, L. *J. Chem. Soc. A* 1971,2796-2800. **(c)** Manojlovic-Muir, **L.;** Muir, K. W. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalron Trans.* 1972,686-690. (d) Haymore, B. L.; Goddard, W. **A., 111;** Allison, J. **N.** *Proc. Int. ConJ Coord. Chem., 23rd* **1984,** 535.

^{(2) (}a) Jean, Y.; Lledos, A.; Burdett, J. K.; Hoffmann, R. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 1988, 110, 4506-4516. (b) Jean, Y.; Lledos, A.; Burdett, J. K.;
Hoffmann, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 140-142.

^{(3) (}a) Yoon, K.; Parkin, G.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1437-1438. (b) Yoon, K.; Parkin, G.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 2210-2218.